

# Study 1: Group and individual treatment comparisons of phosphite fungicides and fertilizers and contact fungicides

			AUADC	
			2010	2011
Comparison Group 1		Comparison Group 2	Statistically different?	
Treated <sup>†</sup>	vs.	Non-treated	yes*	yes
Potassium phosphite analytical standard	vs.	Potassium phosphate analytical standard	yes	yes
All commercial phosphites <sup>‡</sup>	vs.	Conventional fungicides	no	no
Phosphite fungicides <sup>§</sup>	vs.	Phosphite fertilizers	no	yes
Phosphite fungicides and phosphite fertilizers	vs.	Potassium phosphite standard	ND <sup>//</sup>	no
<b>Within phosphite fungicides:</b>				
Alude and Vital	vs.	Magellan	no	no
Alude	vs.	Vital	no	no
<b>Within phosphite fertilizers:</b>				
Phosphite 30	vs.	Fairphyte	no	ND
Phosphite 30 and Magnum	vs.	Fairphyte	ND	no
Phosphite 30	vs.	Magnum	ND	no
<b>Within conventional fungicides:</b>				
Daconil Ultrex and Protect DF	vs.	TerraCyte	no	no
Daconil Ultrex	vs.	Protect DF	no	yes

<sup>†</sup>Treatments were applied every 14 days from May 20 to Aug. 26, 2010, and from May 25 to Sept. 30, 2011.

<sup>‡</sup>Mean of all phosphites (except the potassium phosphite and phosphate standards) compared with the mean of chlorothalonil, mancozeb, and sodium carbonate peroxyhydrate.

<sup>§</sup>Mean of all phosphite fungicides compared with the mean of all phosphite fertilizers.

<sup>//</sup>ND, not determined. Planned treatment comparison not performed.

**Table 2.** Group and individual treatment comparisons of phosphite fungicides, phosphite fertilizers and contact fungicides in Study 1, to determine effects on area under the algae development curve (AUADC) in creeping bentgrass putting green turf in Storrs, Conn., during 2010 and 2011.